

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

8 STACIE DAVIS,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 76 STOP STORE STORE OWNER (S), *et*
12 *al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. C19-1380-RSM

ORDER

14 Plaintiff has filed an amended application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in the
15 above-entitled action. (Dkt. # 5.) Plaintiff does not appear to have funds available to afford the
16 \$400.00 filing fee. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s IFP application (dkt. # 5) is GRANTED. Plaintiff
17 shall note that leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* does not necessarily entitle Plaintiff to a
18 waiver of any other cost(s) of litigation. The Court recommends the complaint be reviewed under
19 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) before issuance of summons. The Court also notes that Plaintiff filed
20 three separate proposed complaints in this matter (dkt. ## 1-1, 1-2, 1-3) and appears to have filed
21 two more proposed complaints (dkt. ## 6-1, 6-2) in response to the Court’s order directing
22 Plaintiff to correct the deficiencies in her IFP application. (dkt. #3).
23

1 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order to the parties and to the Honorable
2 Ricardo S. Martinez.

3 Dated this 26th day of September, 2019.

4 

5 MICHELLE L. PETERSON
6 United States Magistrate Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23